-GH A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY OCT 13 MIS FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL OF MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

OCT 2 1 2005

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

10/21/05 Page 1 of 2

JAMESAY, McCORMACK, CLERK By: 1000

SEP 2 7 2005

DOCKET NO. 1535

Document

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

5:05 W243 GH

IN RE WELDING FUME PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-31)

On June 23, 2003, the Panel transferred three civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 2\omega. U.S.C. § 1407. Since that time, 258 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Kathleen McDonald O'Malley.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact which are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge O'Malley.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of June 23, 2003, 269 F.Supp.2d 1365 (J.P.M.L. 2003), and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Kathleen McDonald O'Malley.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be staved fifteen (15) days from the entry thereof and if any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this fifteen (15) day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted. JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Michael J. Beck

Clerk disting Rently that this instrument to a true and correct copy of the original on the in my office.

Attest: Gari M. Smith, Clerk U.S. Dietzlet Court

Northern District of Ohio

ີຂວ**ຍ**ໃγ Clerk

## SCHEDULE CTO-31 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS DOCKET NO. 1535 IN RE WELDING FUME PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

<u>DIST. DIV., C.A. #</u>	CASE CAPTION
ARKANSAS EASTERN	
ARE 2 05-210	Matt Fair, et al. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARE 3 05-199	Anthony P. Chaney, et al. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARE 4 05-1136	Robert Cortese, Sr. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARE 4 05-1138	Burl Cook v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARE 5 05-242	Howard Dilworth, et al. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARE 5 05-243	Eddie L. Williams, et al. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARKANSAS WESTERN	
ARW 1 05-1069	Johnny Hudson, et al. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
ARW 1 05-1071	Eric Mackey, et al. v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
1M(W 1 05-10)1	This indexely, of the v. Dilloom Execute Cos, of the
CALIFORNIA EASTERN	
CAE 1 05-1038	James Bradberry v. BOC Group, Inc., et al.
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN	
ILS 3 05-600	Paul E. Dunn, et al. v. American Welding Society, et al.
	Table 1. Samily of the Control of the State
KENTUCKY WESTERN	
KYW 4 05-118	Christopher Boling v. Lincoln Electric Co., et al.
MICHIGAN WESTERN	
MIW 1 05-542	Calvin Brown v. A.O. Smith Corp., et al.
MIW 1 05-543	Matthew Caterino v. A.O. Smith Corp., et al.
MIW 1 05-544	Christopher Swierczynski v. A.O. Smith Corp., et al.